MEMORANDUM OF LAW

DATE: June 25, 1996

TO: Ed Ryan, City Auditor and Comptroller

FROM: City Attorney

SUBJECT: Exchange of Certain Pueblo Lands for Other Property

INTRODUCTION

On June 25, 1996, the City Council will be considering the transfer of tit

Lands to a private party. This memorandum is being provided to you to assu transfer, which is in lieu of payments of cash from the General Fund, satis Ordinance adopted by the City Council, and ratified by the electorate, conc

QUESTION PRESENTED

May certain Pueblo Lands, commonly known as the Corporate Research Park ("

transferred to the San Dieguito Partnership, L.P. ("Partnership"), in retur Lands owned by the Partnership, all as part of settlement of litigation wit Ordinance No. 12685, adopted on June 29, 1979, and ratified by the electora ("the Ordinance")?

SHORT ANSWER

The CRP may be transferred without violating the Ordinance for the reasons

Memorandum of Law issued by this office, dated January 31, 1996, enclosed a transfer, which is in lieu of payment of cash, is a reimbursement to the Ge made by the General Fund for police decentralization, and which is authoriz Year 1996 Appropriations Ordinance.

BACKGROUND

The effect and history of the Ordinance is set forth in Attachment 1. Gen

authorized the sale of certain Pueblo Lands, which lands include the CRP, w towards police decentralization improvements. As part of a comprehensive s and complex litigation with the Partnership, it has been proposed that the 106 acres of property owned by the Partnership at a cost of \$6 million. Pa consideration will be the transfer of title to the CRP. The CRP has been a See Attachment 2. Thus, the City will be receiving other property in retur the CRP, rather than cash paid out of the General Fund Liability Reserve ap 1996. In addition, however, the City has reached an agreement with the San Regional Open Space Park Joint Powers Authority ("JPA") that may result in acquired property from the City at the City's cost of acquisition. The iss whether the exchange of title to the various properties would violate the O

ANALYSIS

For the reasons more fully set forth in Attachment 1, the proposed transac

the Ordinance. First, the property the City will acquire will be encumbere imposed by the Ordinance. In other words, if the City eventually sells or

the property, the proceeds may go towards police decentralization improveme requirements of the Ordinance. In addition, because of the advances made b the years towards the police decentralization program, the transfer of the

totaling \$1.5 million from the General Fund, is properly considered a reimb Fund.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons more fully set forth in Attachment 1, the proposed transac

the requirements of the Ordinance. The City will have title to an asset wh the future, which can then be put towards further police decentralization i alternative, the transaction will result in an authorized reimbursement to expenditures previously made for police decentralization improvements from

JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

By Leslie J. Girard Assistant City Attorney

LJG:js:710(x043.2)
Attachments
cc Jack McGrory, City Manager
Chuck Abdelnour, City Clerk
ML-96-35